AG’s Fraud Suit Claims Broad Rent Deregulation Scheme

BY JASON GRATZ

The New York Attorney General’s Office has launched a lawsuit against a former operator of head-in-the-clouds apartments alleging that he and other landlords have engaged in a “scheme to defraud” tenants in multiple areas of Brooklyn, Manhattan and the Bronx. The complaint, filed Monday, accuses the defendants of being involved in a “scheme to defraud” tenants in multiple areas of Brooklyn, Manhattan and the Bronx.
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University Admissions Policies Face Fairness, Credential Questions

BY LAWRENCE NIELSEN

The outrage over the scandal at the heart of college admissions—offering wealthy students the opportunity to buy their way into exclusive schools—has alarmed many in the legal community. But the dialogue is just beginning.

Gift says there’s another harbinger of changing times, too, in the way that lawyers forum shopping.

The number of schools involved in the federal inquiry will likely grow. The list already includes 24 schools, and the Securities and Exchange Commission has opened a civil enforcement probe into the scandal. The SEC inquiry is looking just at admission to the schools, however, and will not be connected to the criminal proceeding.

The college admissions scandal has forced the schools to look at the way that they have been admitting students and the way in which they have been interacting with their admissions practices.

The Big Four firms have been at the forefront of helping schools to navigate the challenge of changing times, with many firms offering their clients a range of services, from audits to reviews of admissions practices.

The scandal has also prompted a number of schools to take action, with some schools announcing that they will no longer accept applications from students who may have been involved in the scandal.

The scandal has also highlighted the importance of transparency and disclosure in the college admissions process, with schools facing increased scrutiny over how they handle information and how they interact with applicants.

The scandal has also raised questions about the role of college admissions consultants, who have been under scrutiny for years.
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I have been eight years since the passage of the legislation establishing the Medical Indemnity Fund, or the Fund—Public Health Law article 29-D, title 4. The 2016 amendments were passed unanimously by the Assembly and the Senate in Chapter 117 of the Laws of 2016, and with the subject of chapter amendments to Chapter 4 of the Laws of 2017. The legislation passed in 2016-17 affected the benefits available to persons injured as a result of malpractice committed by a person licensed to practice health care in New York State.

The changes effected in the context of this article refer to the requirements for coverage in light of new changes in the laws of 2016 and 2017, and again in the 2019 state budget legislation. The 2019 legislation was primarily aimed at the expansion of its expansion from four tests a year to 10.
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Outside Counsel
When Alspi, Is It Protected?

A

If so, I'll have the joy of working with you all the time. If not, phone calls will be

nipped in the bud. I don’t think it’s bad for us to

have a better relationship with Alspi, but

I think it’s bad for us to have a worse relationship with

Alspi. The best thing we can do is to continue

working together as we have in the past.

As far as the impact on our industry,

I think it’s important to recognize that there are

potential benefits to this agreement that can

balance out the potential downsides.

First, it provides

additional protection for us

against potential claims from

Alspi.

Second, it

provides

us

with

greater

control over how we deal with

Alspi, which can help us

achieve

our strategic goals.

Finally, it

allows

us

to

engage

in

more

collaborative

efforts

with

Alspi, which

can

lead
to

improved

outcomes

for

our

customers.

In summary, while I understand

the concerns raised by some

stakeholders,

I believe

this agreement

is

in the best

interests of our

industry.

I look forward to

working with all of you to

make

this

success

a reality.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]
Document retention policies held the key to preserving relevant information and evidence for use in legal matters. But now, legal teams are creatively pushing the limits of what is possible to get better and easier to deploy over time, and some of the barriers to adoption remain. And the ability to create robust retention policies that don’t sacrifice legal value is essential.

A robust information governance program—incorporating document retention policies—can be invaluable to an organization.

FOR SOME attorneys, there can be a tendency to feel the same way. The legal industry, for years, has powered online chatbots and auto-completion. But now, it is generally accepted that when applied correctly, it is one of the most valuable tools of legal data preservation obligations, it is easy to put a legal mind to the task of expanding aspects of such a policy that is a testament to the potential of the data.
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**White Males Need Not Apply**

The Fine Print

Like this? For Law Firms?

Aris, in the State of New York (not a bar admission) who represents diversity at its best.
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BY SUSAN DESANTIS

Lephen Lesard, a solo practitioner and former partner of Stark, Harrison & Atkins, has founded the Bar Association’s first-ever public interest bar association.

Lesard is now the president of the firm’s pro bono group, in the new professional association, the National Lawyers Association.

After joining the firm as a partner in 2007, she decided to start the first pro bono practice group in the firm. Since then, the firm has been committed to providing legal services to underrepresented groups, particularly those affected by the COVID-19 pandemic.

Q: What did you decide to do after you became president of the New York County Lawyers Association?

A: I decided to seek the presidency of the NYCLA because I knew the organization was focused on diversity and inclusion, and that was something I have been passionate about for many years.

Q: What will you do as the president of the association?

A: I will focus on increasing participation from underrepresented groups, especially women and people of color, in the association’s programs and leadership roles.

Q: What do you want to achieve as president of the association?

A: I want to create a more inclusive and diverse association, where people from all backgrounds feel welcomed and valued.

Q: What will you do to make the association more accessible to all?

A: I will work to make the association’s programs and events more accessible, including通过提供在线资源和材料，以及通过提供翻译服务，以便所有成员都能参与。

Q: What are your views on the current state of the legal profession?

A: I believe that the legal profession needs to continue to evolve and adapt to the changing needs of the public and the legal landscape.

Q: What challenges do you foresee in your term as president?

A: I expect to face challenges such as ensuring the association remains relevant and responsive to the needs of its members, as well as addressing issues such as diversity, equity, and inclusion.

Q: How do you plan to address these challenges?

A: I plan to work closely with the association’s leadership and members to identify and address these challenges, and to ensure that the association remains a strong and effective voice for the legal profession.

Q: What do you think is the most important issue facing the legal profession today?

A: I believe that the most important issue facing the legal profession today is diversity, equity, and inclusion. It is essential that we work to ensure that the legal profession is accessible and welcoming to everyone, regardless of their background or identity.

Q: What advice would you give to someone who is interested in becoming involved in the legal profession?

A: My advice to someone interested in becoming involved in the legal profession is to seek out opportunities to learn more about the field and to get involved in organizations and programs that support diversity, equity, and inclusion.

Q: How do you plan to do this?

A: I plan to work with the association’s leadership to identify and create opportunities for new members to get involved in the association’s programs and activities.

Q: How do you plan to keep the association relevant to its members?

A: I plan to work with the association’s leadership to ensure that the association’s programs and events remain relevant to its members, and to make sure that the association remains responsive to the changing needs of its members.
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Matter of David Barcudin
Disciplinary Proceeding

On November 5, 2016, in an uncontested matter
filed with the Office of Disciplinary Enforcement of the
supreme Court, the respondent agreed to prepare
and file a joint affirmation, the parties stipulating
that it could be sent as an email. The respondent
states that he is fully aware of his responsibilities
and apologizes for the delay.

Law Grades

On March 12, 2017, Asher had a conversation with
the Grievance Committee and the respondent
advised that he would forward a retainer
fee. On or about November 10, 2016, in
violation of rule 1.3(a) of the Rules of Professional
Conduct (22 NYCRR 1200.0); (2) failed to act
of Professional Conduct (22 NYCRR 1200.0); (4)
colleagues with respect to changes of professional
misconduct, in violation of rule 1.15 of the Rules
of Professional Conduct (22 NYCRR 1200.0); (5)
colleagues with respect to changes of professional
misconduct, in violation of rule 1.15 of the Rules
of Professional Conduct (22 NYCRR 1200.0).

The Grievance Committee and the
respondent did not provide a written answer to
the respondent's motion in which he contended
that it was not immediately sent to
the bar association. The respondent states that he
had been retained to represent
Newcastle as a defendant in the
civil action.

The effect of the scheme, the com-
plaint states, made
Newcastle and its management—Apartment 3C—at 86 Fort
determined what 
[renovations] on

The notice, indeed, states that was
offered in evidence by
the opponent of the
complainant.

In or about October 2016, the respondent
agreed to represent Charles Cameron, a resident of
the city of New York, in connection with a
matrimonial matter.
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**Retention**

A robust information governance and records management program can be driven by a single motive: to protect against the consequences of a regulatory or legal action. This means that “organizations should be able to find what they need when they need it and do so in a way that is timely and at a cost that is reasonable.”

At the Sedan Group, we are committed to helping our clients navigate the complex landscape of information governance and compliance. Our team of experienced professionals is dedicated to ensuring that your organization complies with legal and regulatory requirements, and that your data is protected and available when you need it.

We have been helping organizations across a wide range of industries to establish effective information governance programs. Our clients include banks, healthcare providers, and pharmaceutical companies, among others. We understand the complexities of information management in today’s digital world, and we are committed to helping our clients stay compliant and competitive.

If you’re interested in learning more about how we can help your organization, please contact us today. We would be happy to discuss your needs and develop a customized solution that meets your specific requirements.

**DIssociative Identity Disorder**

Dissociative identity disorder (DID) is a mental health condition characterized by the presence of two or more distinct personality states. These states are referred to as alters and are characterized by unique sets of thoughts, feelings, and behaviors.

For example, one alter might be a very outgoing and social person, while another might be introverted and reserved. Individuals with DID may switch between these alters, sometimes without realizing it. This can cause confusion and difficulty in managing daily life.

While the exact cause of DID is not fully understood, it is believed to be associated with a variety of factors, including trauma and stressful life events. Treatment for DID typically involves therapy, medication, and support from family and friends.

If you or someone you know is struggling with dissociative identity disorder, it is important to seek professional help. A healthcare provider can provide a comprehensive evaluation and develop a treatment plan that is tailored to your needs.

**Dissociative Amnesia**

Dissociative amnesia is a related condition to DID, characterized by the inability to recall important personal information. This information may be related to everyday events, as well as traumatic events.

People with dissociative amnesia may also experience other symptoms, such as confusion, disorientation, and difficulty concentrating. These symptoms can be triggered by stressful situations or events, and may persist for a period of time.

While the exact cause of dissociative amnesia is not fully understood, it is believed to be related to trauma and stress. Treatment for dissociative amnesia typically involves therapy, medication, and support from family and friends.

If you or someone you know is struggling with dissociative amnesia, it is important to seek professional help. A healthcare provider can provide a comprehensive evaluation and develop a treatment plan that is tailored to your needs.
NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF
INDIGENT LEGAL SERVICES
COURT NOTES

May 24, 2019, the Panel must report to the Court for its reappointment. The Panel will review the qualifications of Cheryl L. Pollak, incumbent United States Magistrate Judge in the Eastern District, for a new eight (8)-year term. The Panel will review the incumbent’s performance as United States Magistrate Judge and will consider comments received from members of the Eastern District’s Bar Association, as well as comments on the incumbent’s good character, integrity, legal ability, temperament, and commitment to equal justice under law. Written comments received by the Panel will be considered carefully and will remain confidential. Written comments should be directed to:

Chief Judge Stacy H. District Court
/c/o Clerk of Court
Eastern District of New York
201 Varick Street, New York, N.Y. 10014

Written comments must be filed on or before July 10, 2019.

The last dates for filing for that term are as follows:

Filing Dates for the September Term

The remaining 2019 Term of the Court will continue on September 9, 2019.

Any filing that is notprocessable will be destroyed.

Written comments must be filed on or before August 9, 2019.

BRONX COURT
Supreme Court

Superseded Records

The Supreme Court is superseding the old Supreme Court Civil Division records by new court-generated records. Records X and Y以后 will no longer be used. All Y-Files and X-Files on active cases will be destroyed.

Records from the Supreme Court Civil Division may be obtained by calling the Clerk’s Office at 718-491-2476.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Wing See Co. Ltd. T/a v. Sutton Apts. Corp. | 657259/17 | 10:42 A.M. | 90 Centre Street | To file Part.
| Sitomer v. Apollo Jets | 651436/17 | 10:00 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| Nurse v. Site 1 Dsa | 153078/18 | 10:00 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| Magioncalda v. Keyspan | 156416/17 | 10:00 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| Espaillat v. Aguila | 151492/17 | 10:00 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| Crump v. NYCHA | 306942/10 | 3:00 P.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| Patton v. Pauporte | 651570/13 | 2:15 P.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| Spruce Interiors v. Bh | 651323/16 | 10:00 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 30 Carmine LLC v. Bradhurst 100 Dev. LLC | 651406/17 | 10:00 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 309192/18 | 9:30 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 311075/18 | 9:30 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 151480/15 | 9:30 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 302116/18 | 3:30 P.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 451571/18 | 10 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 655391/16 | 10 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 651323/16 | 10 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 655085/16 | 10 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 150167/17 | 10 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 100882/18 | 10 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 152773/18 | 10 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 152810/18 | 10 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 805200/12 | 10 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 805648/15 | 10 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 302664/19 | 10 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 302911/19 | 10 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 800002/18 | 10 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 100144/18 | 10 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 805166/18 | 10 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 302472/18 | 10 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 307462/18 | 10 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 152498/16 | 10 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 157595/16 | 10 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 101312/18 | 10 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 154897/15 | 10 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 153794/18 | 10 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 155615/18 | 10 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 157423/18 | 10 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 158095/16 | 10 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 157512/16 | 10 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 100082/19 | 10 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 653739/16 | 10 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 650174/17 | 10 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 651406/17 | 10 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 600865/10 | 10 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 156016/12 | 10 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 653244/14 | 10 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
| 190400/15 | 10 A.M. | 60 Centre Street | Matrimonial Part.
Compliance Inspections and Examinations (OCIE) is hiring attorneys for the Investment. To maintain fair, orderly, and efficient markets, and facilitate capital formation. The mission of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) is to protect investors, encourages full and fair, and accurate disclosure of financial information, and fosters fair, orderly, and efficient markets. Sk-13: $74,262 - $140,909. Established downtown defense firm is seeking a litigation associate. Must be licensed to practice in NY. Indicate your desired SEC Location (Max 2) and trials preferably in auto defense. To apply for this position, email a concise paragraph(s) stating your qualifications. Our ideal candidate possesses unquestioned integrity, discipline, systems/procedures, and organizational skills, and the ability to handle a fast-paced environment with strict deadlines. The position requires experience in civil litigation/personal injury practice and appears for you. To track calendar and motion information for individual cases, call MA 3000 at 212-457-4988. To purchase back issues, call 1-877-256-2472. Considering personal injury experience for settlement, arbitration and mediation and/or mentor to next of kin, distributees, legatees, devisees, beneficiaries, and heirs. The position requires a law degree and at least five years of experience handling personal injury cases. The position requires a law degree and at least five years of experience handling cases from inception to settlement, arbitration and mediation and/or mentor to beneficiaries, legatees, devisees, beneficiaries, and heirs. The position requires experience in civil litigation/personal injury practice and testifying in over 100 cases. The position requires experience in civil litigation/personal injury practice and testifying in over 100 cases. The position requires a law degree and at least five years of experience handling personal injury cases. The position requires experience in civil litigation/personal injury practice and testifying in over 100 cases. The position requires a law degree and at least five years of experience handling personal injury cases. The position requires a law degree and at least five years of experience handling personal injury cases. The position requires a law degree and at least five years of experience handling personal injury cases.
OFFICE/PROFESSIONAL SPACE

OFFICES FOR RENT

570 Lexington Avenue @ East 51st Street

- Two furnished interior offices and one executive staff suite
- Within a renovated real estate office for sale available for license in Midtown East to Deco Landmark building. Shared use of receptional, conference rooms and kitchens. New high-speed internet connection available. Fax, high-speed internet access available. Building has 24-concierge, concierge service and high-speed elevators. Accessible to multiple subway, at line and underground, to Grand Central North entrance. Willing to license one office or both. License fee upon request.

- Please call: 914-882-3300

1776 Broadway At 57th St, Columbus Circle, Time Warner Center, Accessible Transit, Shopping, Sweeping Views. Three

- Large office overlooks East River and City Hall Park
- Includes one additional work station area
- Large 2-windowed office
- Virtual office arrangements also available.
- Please call: 646-345-3421

501 Madison 52nd Street

- Large executive office with 21 ft. ceiling
- Large windows
- Amenities include: conference room, kitchen and copy room.
- Contact Bonnie J. Josephs 212-644-5712 or josephyj@glenequities.com

LEXINGTON & 40TH STREET (GRAND CENTRAL AREA)

- 12 furnished offices available. 6 are located in a great location two blocks from Grand Central. The offices are in a former bank and modern suite with additional offices in the office occupied by attorneys, accountants and other professionals. Amenities include receptionist who will answer phones, take messages and grant clients, 2 conference rooms, copier, fax, kitchen, 24/7 security (first floor). The office space. Furnished availability. Terms vary by space. You will love us!
- Contact David Meyers at 212-888-3300 Ext 374

GRAND CENTRAL AREA

- Furnished office with City views in small suite near Grand Central 4-5 windows, high speed internet, cable, lawyer services, secretary/chaper and conference room in a space well suited for a solo practitioner. Overflow/referral work possible.
- Contact Bonnie J. Josephs 212-644-5712 or josephyj@glenequities.com

275 Madison Avenue

- Large furnished office with City views in small suite near Grand Central 4-5 windows, high speed internet, cable, lawyer services, secretary/chaper and conference room in a space well suited for a solo practitioner. Overflow/referral work possible.
- Contact Bonnie J. Josephs 212-644-5712 or josephyj@glenequities.com

OFFICE SPACE AVAILABLE

WOLKOURTH BUILDING

- Previous occupancy in 2nd floor suite with 180 days hereof.
- Father's Family Action
- Office space in 2nd floor suite
- Sale or lease
- Contact: Donna Lewis 917-988-3304

OFFICE SPACE FOR PROFESSIONALS WALL STREET AREA

61 Broadway 28th Floor

- 1 large professionally finished windowed office in professional law suite.
- Office space in 28th floor
- Contact: Donna Lewis 917-988-3304

ROOM TO LET AT 217 BROADWAY, THE ASTOR BUILDING

- 6th floor office suite
- Renew your Subscription
- Call the New York Law Journal 1-877-256-2472

CITATIONS NY ALM, INC. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES PROVIDE NO WARRANTY OR REPRESENTATION REGARDING THE ACCURACY OF THE INFORMATION PROVIDED HEREIN. THE INFORMATION MAY BE INCOMPLETE, INACCURATE, OR OUT OF DATE. VISITORS SHOULD CONFIRM ANY INFORMATION OF IMPORTANCE TO THEM BY DIRECTLY CONFERENCING WITH THE APPLICABLE ATTORNEY, COMPANY, OR OTHER PARTY. VISITORS NEARLY INDEMNIFY AND HOLD ALM, INC. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES HARMLESS FROM ANY LOSS, COST, OR DAMAGE RESULTING FROM THE USE OR RELIANCE ON THE INFORMATION PROVIDED HEREIN.
# New York County

## Court Calendars

### Court Calendars Continued On Page 30

### Part 51

| Date       | Time   | Case || Venue |
|------------|--------|------|-------|
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 9:30 A.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 10:30 A.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 11:30 A.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 12:30 P.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 1:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 2:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 3:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 4:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |

### Part 52

| Date       | Time   | Case || Venue |
|------------|--------|------|-------|
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 9:30 A.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 10:30 A.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 11:30 A.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 12:30 P.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 1:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 2:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 3:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 4:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |

### Part 53

| Date       | Time   | Case || Venue |
|------------|--------|------|-------|
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 9:30 A.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 10:30 A.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 11:30 A.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 12:30 P.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 1:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 2:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 3:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 4:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |

### Part 54

| Date       | Time   | Case || Venue |
|------------|--------|------|-------|
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 9:30 A.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 10:30 A.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 11:30 A.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 12:30 P.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 1:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 2:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 3:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 4:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |

### Part 55

| Date       | Time   | Case || Venue |
|------------|--------|------|-------|
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 9:30 A.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 10:30 A.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 11:30 A.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 12:30 P.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 1:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 2:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 3:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 4:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |

### Part 56

| Date       | Time   | Case || Venue |
|------------|--------|------|-------|
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 9:30 A.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 10:30 A.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 11:30 A.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 12:30 P.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 1:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 2:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 3:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 4:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |

### Part 57

| Date       | Time   | Case || Venue |
|------------|--------|------|-------|
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 9:30 A.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 10:30 A.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 11:30 A.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 12:30 P.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 1:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 2:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 3:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 4:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |

### Part 58

| Date       | Time   | Case || Venue |
|------------|--------|------|-------|
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 9:30 A.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 10:30 A.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 11:30 A.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 12:30 P.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 1:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 2:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 3:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 4:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |

### Part 59

| Date       | Time   | Case || Venue |
|------------|--------|------|-------|
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 9:30 A.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 10:30 A.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 11:30 A.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 12:30 P.M. | Compliance Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 1:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 2:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 3:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |
| TUESDAY, JUNE 4 | 4:30 P.M. | Pretrial Conference | Room 318 |
“GOTCHA”

BODY CAMS DON’T LIE
• Currently N.J. Only • Reasonably Priced Where and When Available

Guaranteed
Subpoena Service, Inc.

“If we don’t serve it, you don’t pay!”®
Anywhere in the U.S.A.

1-800-672-1952
or 212.776.1831
(FAX) 800.236.2092
info@served.com - www.served.com